

SHAYKH WASEEULLAAH AL-‘ABBAAS ON THE ISSUE OF BROTHER ABOO USAAMAH ATH-THAHABI¹

SHAYKH WASEEULLAAH AL-‘ABBAAS - TEACHER AT
UMM AL-QURA’ UNIVERSITY AND TEACHER IN
MASJID AL-HARAM (HAFIDHAHULLAAH) -
SEPTEMBER 17TH 2006 CE

So based upon this, is it not permissible for you to block the *Salafees*, the callers to the Book and the Sunnah, due to a mistake or two, and if the mistake is well-known we should stay away from it, however we can take from him that which is devoid of error as long as his *manhaj* is generally clear. This is the true statement and the true opinion in light of the texts of the Qur’aan and Sunnah, so now for example with Imaam al-Albaanee (*rabeemabullaah*) it is not obligatory to accept all of his statements. From what we know there is no doubt that there are some opinions which opposed his view which are more correct. Likewise, any man who is upon the clear *manhaj* yet has an odd opinion we leave that odd opinion and we benefit from his remaining sciences. As for branding as an innovator and warning against everyone who falls into error then this path is not the path of the *Salaf* and is rather the way of the *Khawaarij* who used to go to extremes and even used to make *takfeer* of people due to some mistakes and the issue may have only been an error according to their opinion!

Last week, some of you people asked some questions which were not suitable for you to have asked, as they do not benefit you. It indicates that within you *jama’at* are some people who not *Salafees* rather within you are those like *munafiqeen* (hypocrites) who wish to divide the *Salafees*. They promote within you the likes of these questions and you asked this man (Abee ‘Amr al-Hajooree) about Dr Suhayb Hasan and about the noble brother who I know has good *‘aqeedah* Abee Usaamah ath-Thahabi and you asked about *Jamee’yat Abl ul-Hadeeth* in India and in other than India. I heard some speech from the

¹ Transcribed and adapted from an audio which is now available from: http://www.salafitapes.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=281&Itemid=26 originally translated by the brother Aboo Muhammad al-Maghribee.

brother who answered the questions, who you asked, and I was amazed that he spoke with that which was not affirmed and this is not from the door of the scholars, that one speaks without evidence or knowledge. He (Abee ‘Amr) described the *Jamee’yah* with innovation and that they are innovators and mentioned some texts regarding innovators and applied them to the *Jamee’yah* which has a large involvement in spreading the Sunnah and opposing innovation. Allaah is greater! I am not able to say anything except that this speech is based upon the brother’s ignorance of the *manhaj* of innovation and the *Salafi manhaj*, as he does not differentiate between the *manhaj* of innovation and the *Salafi manhaj*. It is not befitting for you brothers to ask the likes of such questions to a person who does not know the reality.

I say in reality that you should not criticise the people and you should benefit from those whom Allaah has granted knowledge and virtue, especially Dr Suhayb and he is far from where you (the brothers in the US) are anyway! So what is the benefit in asking about him? SubhaanAllaah! I know, Allaah knows best, he is from an area in India and then moved to Europe, and he is the most knowledgeable person in Britain in ‘*aqeedah*, ‘*ilm* and action by the will of Allaah. There are differences in opinion due to *ijtihad* or from the rulings of the scholars and if a person follows these then it does not mean that the person has been expelled from the *Salafi manhaj* or from the clear *manhaj*. Likewise, there is with you one of the brothers, Dr Abdul’Aali al-‘Aadhamee, who is in Britain or America, who is from the brothers of people of *hadeeth* and it is appropriate to benefit from him however I do not hear him being mentioned. The person who you asked (i.e. Abee ‘Amr) also spoke about Abee Usaamah ath-Thahabi and described him as being “an innovator”, **yet the brother ath-Thahabi as I have known, sat with and heard about during these days, is one who possesses clear ‘aqeedah from before and up to today and he is of healthy ideas, he does not possess any leniency in ‘aqeedah. Yes, he may not agree with some of the opinions of the people, or the opinions of some people, yet this does not substantiate for all of you that are upon the clear manhaj to hate, severe off or boycott. He (Aboo Usaamah) benefits the brothers there in Britain, in Birmingham. However, from the issues are that there are people who hate the Jamiat totally! For that reason, they spread things about him (Aboo Usaaamah). So it is not befitting to listen to those ones,**² and what the

² Indeed, so it is not befitting to describe our respected brother Aboo Usaamah ath-Thahabi (*hafidhullaah*) with the likes of “**that punk nigga from New Jersey**” which demonstrates the level of Islamic mannerisms and *deen* of those who ludicrously think that they can distribute refutations of

speaker described about the *Jamee'yah* and that they should be totally warned against and that it is a *Jamee'yah* of innovation and secrecy, and I heard all of this with his voice, then I say to the brother who spoke, the *muftie* (who gave a legal ruling) to fear Allaah and to not harm or cut the people with the sword of your verdicts. I do not know his name, but I know that he is from al-Yemen and all of them want to be great scholars ('*Allaamah*) like '*Allaamah* Muqbil ibn Haadee (*rabeemabullaah*). Woe to him, and woe to them, that they comprehend him! That great noble man who spoke with intelligence, he (Imaam Muqbil) said in Makkah himself, excusing himself from some statements about some people saying **“We making a ruling upon a person based on what comes to us from the people, and we do not know the full reality”** this is what he said in Makkah. So it is befitting to know that as long as he said this that if one only has ignorance of the matter to say “I don't know, some people have merely mentioned this to me and I say what is apparent to me” if this is said, but to make *tabdee'* of every *Jama'ah* and to say that it is a *Jama'ah* of secrecy then this issue needs to be looked in and one needs to repent to Allaah from it.

And I say, BarakAllaaha feekum, the brother who mentioned the *Jamee'yat Abl ul-Hadeeth* which is a *Jamee'yah* which I was raised with Alhamdulillah, for that reason I defend them and wish for the true affair to reach you *inshaa'Allaah*. The as for the issue of *bay'ah*, which arose as it was said that this *Jamee'yah* has a *bay'ah*, then you know that there is a *hadeeth* which for that reason some individuals of the *Jama'ah* say that it is not permissible for a Muslim do die without having a *bay'ah* to anyone around his neck (i.e.

him and add the likes of such idiotic speech. This is akin to the likes of the vile Jamaican *takfeeree* 'Abdullaah Faysal and his referring to Aboo Usaamah as a “house-nigger” in a lecture entitled '*21st Century House Nigger*' (!! and this kind of speech belongs to the pre-Islamic contemporary *jahl* of the *kuffaar* West than it does within the realms of Islamic *da'wah*, not to mention blessed *Salafiyyah*! This is a clear indication of the ignorance of these miserable riff-raff who have to utilise *kuffaar* (and white *kuffaar* at that as they originated the term 'Nigger') terms of expression of black people and apply them to Muslims of African origin! Al-Bukhaaree reported that Aboo Dharr and Bilaal, the Ethiopian, both of whom were among the earliest Muslims, once quarreled and insulted each other. Carried away by his anger, Aboo Dharr said to Bilaal, **“You son of a black woman!”** Bilaal complained about this to the Prophet (*sallallaahu alayhi wassallam*), who turned to Abu Dharr, saying, *“Are you taunting him about his mother? There is still some influence of jahiliyyah in you!”* (Reported by al-Bukhaaree) So such fools should begin with correcting their own '*aqeedah, manhaj, adab* and *akhlaaq* before trying to refute Aboo Usaamah (*hafidhullaah*) by using abusive and filthy words adopted from the *kuffaar* such as 'Nigger' an bringing up a whole host of irrelevant issue from *jaahiliyyah* in the context of *allegedly* spreading *Salafiyyah*. [EN]

without having made a pledge of allegiance to anyone). **Not all of the Jamee'yat Ahl ul-Hadeeth in India believes in the correctness of this speech it is only the speech of some individuals.** So their affair is clear and also there is no secrecy, this man spoke as if he was most knowledgeable of the era! I say to him may he fear Allaah and I say to the brother who spoke, gave a ruling and made *tabdee'* that if they do have mistakes then inform them and by the will of Allaah they will accept if it was a mistake and it is not compulsory for a person to accept the opinion of another. I say to them again that and sufficient is a lie for a person! Sufficient is a lie for a person to speak all that he hears!

Then the next matter is, that in front of you are many issues my brothers, in America and Britain, such as the speech from the Christians, Jews, Qadiyanis, Baha'is and *Shee'ab*, however I do not hear from you questions regarding these matters?!

You also attack the strangers and weak ones and this is not a righteous action. It is as if this disease came to you from Britain, wherein there are some people who I invited and spoke to, so I do not speak with imagined events, they are those who attach themselves to *Maktabah Salafyyah (Salafi Publications)*, yet their striving is only against the *Salafees* with little that is right and much falsehood! If they really want the Countenance of Allaah they would rectify their affair and the affair of their brothers.³

There are also some issues which do not necessitate splitting and division between themselves and the *Jamee'yah*. They describe the *Jamee'yah* with '*Hizbiyyah*' yet they are the ones who left them and became *bizbee* and indeed they are (real) Hizbees! I debated the man who is called 'Aboo Khadeejah' regarding his opposition to the *Jamee'yah* in every small and big thing whether it was right or false, and he did not come with anything convincing, so I advise him and all of the brothers to leave off blocking off the way of their brothers and to take what they have been advised about if they truly want the Countenance of Allaah. **Yet if they just want to rule over and control the servants of Allaah or want to run the affairs of the issues of the people, then akhee why don't you just get yourself a flock of goats or sheep and then you can direct these sheep to wherever you want to direct them to! But as for the people they don't have to check with you if they have another opinion! Some people just desire to control the affairs of people!**

³ See Shaykh Khaalid ar-Radaadee's (*hafidhahullaah*) statements on them at: http://www.madeenah.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=80&Itemid=2

Finally, I say to not enter into the likes of these issues which is poison to the *Jama'ah*, the *Jama'ah* of the *Salafees*. We do not see a *Qadiyani* talking about another *Qadiyani*, or one who has the *'aqeedah* of the *'Ashbarees* or the likes speaking against an *'Ash'aree*, we never see this! For this reason, take the lectures of the scholars and benefit from them. I give *salaam* to you and bid farewell to you and I hope that you spread my speech here fully without omitting anything via the (well-known) means of distribution,

Wa salaamu alaykum wa Rahmatullaah wa Barakatuhu!